

NEID: the New NASA-NSF Exoplanet Observational Research (NN-EXPLORE) Precision Radial Velocity Spectrograph on WIYN

Chair: John L. Callas (JPL), NN-EXPLORE Manager ExEP Splinter Session at the 233rd AAS, January 7, 2019, Seattle, WA

© 2019 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology Government sponsorship acknowledged CL#19-0693



Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

- 2:00 The NN-EXPLORE program (John Callas/JPL)
- 2:05 NEID instrument update (Suvrath Mahadevan/PSU)
- 2:25 NEID science capabilities (Jason Wright/PSU)
- 2:40 NEID queue and operations (Jayadev Rajagopal/NOAO)
- 2:50 NEID archive and community data (Rachel Akeson/NExScl)
- 3:00 NEID proposal calls, utilization, policies (John Callas/JPL)
- 3:15 Discussion
- 3:30 End

NN-EXPLORE Program

2010 Decadal, "NASA and NSF should support an aggressive program of ground-based precise radial velocity surveys of nearby stars to identify potential candidates."

NN-EXPLORE is a joint NASA-NSF program for exoplanet observational research.

- NASA is funding the development of the NEID radial velocity (RV) spectrograph, telescope port adapter, telescope facilities modification, guaranteed-time observations (GTO) and a guest observer (GO) program.
- NSF contributes the NOAO partner share on the WIYN 3.5-meter telescope on Kitt Peak (40%).
- **ExEP**(JPL) provides overall project management.
- Penn State is building the NEID instrument and pipeline software and will conduct the GTO.
- NOAO is building the port adapter and performing the facility modifications, and conducts the GO selections and will operate the telescope and instrument(s).
- NExScI will operate the community RV data pipeline and archival database, and implements the GO awards.







NEID: An Update

Suvrath Mahadevan The Pennsylvania State University





The NEID Team



Suvrath Mahadevan (PI) Fred Hearty (PM) Jason Wright (PS) Andy Monson (SE) Larry Ramsey (PA) Eric Levi (ME) Scott Blakeslee (ME) Colin Nitroy (ME) Tyler Anderson (EE) Joe Ninan Gudmundur Stefansson **Emily Lubar** Shubham Kanodia Fric Ford Fabienne Bastien **Thomas Beatty** Rebekah Dawson Sree Prasumarthi





Chad Bender (IS) Kyle Kaplan



UC Irvine Paul Robertson



Marsha Wolf Jeff Percival Michael Smith Kurt Jaehnig



Rachel Akeson BJ Fulton Russ Laher



Cullen Blake (IS)

Caltech

Arpita Roy



John Callas Phil Willems Rich Capps



Lori Allen Jayadev Rajagopal Rob Christensen Emily Hunting Bob Marshall Erik Timmerman



Michael McElwain (IS) Qian Gong Sarah Logsdon Ravi Kopparapu





Ryan Terrien

भौतिक अनुसंधान प्रयोगशाला Physical Research Laboratory

Abhijit Chakraborty



Sam Halverson



The word *neid* means discover/visualize in the language of the Tohono O'odham, on whose lands we, as a community, are privileged to be doing astronomical observations.





The Earth Introduces a Doppler Radial Velocity shift on the Sun of only 8.9

ANEID Extreme Precision Doppler Measurements are HARD!

10cm/s corresponds to 1/6,000th of a 10 micron pixel



Overview & Capabilities

Telescope: 3.5m WIYN Telescope @ KPNO Waveband & Resolution: 380 – 930 nm, complete coverage, R ~90K Expected Precision: 30 cm/s (instrumental) initial w/ path to 10 cm/s Expected On Sky: mid-late 2019 *Available to the Public!*





Two Observing Modes:

- HR (R~90,000)
 - Highest precision RVs on bright targets (V<12, e.g. TESS)
 - Simultaneous Cal
- HE (R~60,000) (subject to de-scope)
 - Faint targets (V<16)
 - Poor weather
 - e.g. K2

ANEID

Science: The Need for sub-m/s RV

- Very precise planet masses needed to constrain composition/ formation models.
- TESS will provide transiting planets around bright stars, but EPRV resources are always tight.





Extreme precision RV follow-up is a *requirement* for the success of TESS!

Science: The Need for sub-m/s RV

- Earth-mass planets in the HZ have 10-30 cm/s RV amplitudes, requiring observations on 10s to 100s of nights at <50 cm/s precision.
- These planets represent the top targets for future imaging missions!
- Knowing whether we have the ability to discover such planets could drive the choice of future flagship missions.



Simulated image of the solar system as viewed by a future space-based LUVOIR imager.

AAS Poster Today (Monday): 140.28 Jason Wright



So What Do We Need?





A Simple, High-Performance Optical Design Implementation: Single arm white pupil Echelle

- Single mirror white pupil relay, 200mm beam
- 2x1 mosaic R4 Richardson Echelle
- Single prism cross disperser: Ohara PBM2Y •
- Refractive camera, 4 elements, folded lacksquare





AAS Talk (Thursday): 408.03 **Christian Schwab** 10:20am, Rm#608

Throughput Flowdown



Total System throughput:

- HR: >4% for most of bandpass
- HE: >8% for most of bandpass

Spectrometer throughput ~40%

(These are theoretical expectationwhich need to be vaildated with as built numbers and measurements)



RV ANEID Achieving high instrumental RV precision is a multifaceted problem **Fibers** Optics Calibrators Stability **Barycentric correction** Pipeline Telescope Atmosphere Tau Ceti RV [cm/s] -500 **Our RV Pipeline** 1000 RV [cm/s] -500 **HARPS** Pipelin -1000

o_{ev}inset=38.3 cm/

3500

4000 4500 JD-2450000.0 [days]

-1500Ē



NEID as a System

NEID is a precision RV System

Advanced Environmental Control System

Menlo LFC, Etalon, Lamps

White Pupil Spectrometer





Telescope Port System

Unsliced high scrambling fiber feed

Data Reduction Pipeline

Operations

1



44.1

17.2

8.5 6.0

6.0

15.0 15.0

28.6

21.8 3.8

3.8 10.7 10.7 7.5 7.5 3.0 5000 7.5 6.5 2.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 10.6 7.5 7.5 15.0

15.0

28.0

% Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV % Contribution to Final MEV CBE Calibration 30.0 Calibration Accuracy 43.3 Calibration Accuracy	N	MPV = Max Possible Value = M8	EV(1+	50%	margin	1)	INSTRU	IMENT TOTAL [cm/s]	16.0	24.4	29.
Instrument [cm/s] (calibratable) 6.7 15.6 17.0 41.3 From Instrument error proporated through 15% 0.5% Thermo-mechanical 5.3 13.0 14.0 38.7 0.4% Soom Thermal Stability [MK] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 0.2% Thermal Stability [Chrols] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 0.1% Thermal Stability [Chrols] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 0.1% Thermal Stability [Chrols] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 1.9% ptability [cm/s] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 1.9% Dotto and Stability (Chrols] 0.6 1.7 18 2.7 1.9% Dotto and Stability [Chrols] 0.4 1.1 12 18 0.0% Voltational Stability [Chrols] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Dotto and Stability [Chrols] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Corticut phase change[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.	Contri	ibution to Final MEV	CBE	Cont.	MEV	MPV	% Contr	ibution to Final MEV	CBE	Cont.	ME
0.5% Thermo-mechanical 5.3 13.0 14.0 38.7 0.4% Room Themal Stability [K] 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 Vacuum Themal Stability (CD)(cmVs) 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.2% Themal Stability (Echelie [cmVs] 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.3% Themal Stability (Echelie [cmVs] 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.3% Themal Stability (Echelie [cmVs] 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.3% Dotat al Elements (Decenter)[cmVs] 0.6 1.7 1.8 2.7 0.3% Optical Elements (Til [cmVs] 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.3% Pressure stability [cmVs] 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.3% Dotatical Elements (Til [cmVs] 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.3% Dector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.3% Ectorics Noise [cmVs] 0.1 1.0 1.0 0.3% CCD	.8% I	Instrument [cm/s] (calibratable)	6.7	15.6	17.0	41.3	fraction of instru From Instrum	ment error propogated through ent [cm/s] (calibratable)	15% 1.0	2.3	
0.5% Thermo-mechanical 5.3 13.0 14.0 38.7 0.4% Room Themai Stability [K] 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 Vacuum Themai Stability (KD) 0.6 1.7 1.8 2.7 0.2% Themai Stability (CD)(cm/s) 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.1% Opitcal Elements (Decemplements) 2.3 6.4 6.8 34.0 0.0% Opitcal Elements (Decemplements) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Opitcal Elements (Decemplements) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Opitcal Elements (Decemplements) 1.0 2.8 3.0 4.5 0.0% Vibrational Stability (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Vibrational Stability (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Elector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Coll themal Expansion (cm/s) 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% D.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0.00</th> <th></th> <th></th>									0.00		
Vacuum Themal Stability (KD) (cm/s) 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 Vacuum Themal Stability (KD) (cm/s) 3.0 8.5 9.0 13.5 0.1% Optical Elements (Cm/s) 1.8 5.1 5.4 8.1 0.1% Optical Elements (Cm/s) 1.8 5.1 5.4 8.1 0.1% Optical Elements (Cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Optical Elements (Cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Optical Elements (Cm/s) 0.1 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Vibrational Stability (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 1.7 1.8 2.7 0.0% Chiza Elements (Cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Chiza Elements (Cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.6 5.5 5.0 8 0.0% Electorics Noise (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 </td <td>.5% I</td> <td>I hermo-mechanical</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>13.0</td> <td>14.0</td> <td>38.7</td> <td>15.5% (</td> <td>Calibration Source: LFC</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>9.4</td> <td>1</td>	.5% I	I hermo-mechanical	5.3	13.0	14.0	38.7	15.5% (Calibration Source: LFC	6.6	9.4	1
0.2% Thema Stability (Cirvls) 0.8 1.9 1.0 <t< td=""><td>470 1</td><td>Vacuum Thermal Stability [mk]</td><td>0.1</td><td>17</td><td>1.8</td><td>27</td><td>3.8%</td><td>Calibration Accuracy</td><td>43</td><td>37</td><td>1.5</td></t<>	470 1	Vacuum Thermal Stability [mk]	0.1	17	1.8	27	3.8%	Calibration Accuracy	43	37	1.5
Construction Construction<	2% 7	Thermal Stability (XD)[cm/s]	3.0	8.5	9.0	13.5	1.9%	stability (cm/s)	2.8	2.9	
0.0% Thermal Stability (Bench)(cm/s) 0.6 1.7 1.8 2.7 0.0% Optical Elements(Decenter)(cm/s) 2.3 6.4 6.8 34.0 0.0% Optical Elements(Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 2.7 0.0% Optical Elements(Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 2.7 0.0% Optical Elements(Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 2.0 0.0% Vibrational Stability(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Exercise stability(cm/s) 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.1% Electror 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9%	1% 1	Thermal Stability (Echelle)[cm/s]	1.8	5.1	5.4	8.1	1.9%	photon noise [cm/s]	3.2	2.4	
0.1% Optical Elements (Decenter)(cm/s) 2.3 6.4 6.8 34.0 0.0% Optical Elements (Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Optical Elements (Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Optical Elements (Titl)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Pressue stability (cm/s) 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.0% Pressue stability (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Pressue stability (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Disk inhomogeneities (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Electorics Noise (cm/s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Cibit indig error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.7% calibrator modal noise [cm/s] 1.0 1.0 0.0% Cibit ing error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 5.9% Scattered light Cal to	0% 7	Thermal Stability (Bench)[cm/s]	0.6	1.7	1.8	2.7	1				
0.0% Optical Elements (Tit)(cm/s) 0.4 1.1 1.2 1.8 0.0% Vbrational Stability(cm/s) 1.0 2.8 3.0 4.5 0.0% Vbrational Stability(cm/s) 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.0% LV2 fill transient(cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.1% Zerodur phase change(cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Pixel inhomogeneities (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.0% Electronics Noise (cm/s) 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.1% Ctbring error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% Ctbring error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.0 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s]	1% (Opitcal Elements (Decenter)[cm/s]	2.3	6.4	6.8	34.0	11.7%	Calibration Process	5.0	8.7	1
0.0% Vibrational Stability[cm/s] 1.0 2.8 3.0 4.5 0.0% Pressure stability[cm/s] 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.0% Evendur phase change[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.1% Zerodur phase change[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Fiber and Illumination 8.2 1.0 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.1% Stitching eror [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [Cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5	0% 0	Optical Elements (Tilt)[cm/s]	0.4	1.1	1.2	1.8	11.7%	Algorithm and Software [cm/s]	5.0	8.7	1
0.0% Pressure stability[cm/s] 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.0% LN2 fill transient[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% LN2 fill transient[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.1% Zerodur phase change[cm/s] 3.0 4.0 5.0 7.5 0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% CCD themai Expansion [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7%	.0% \	Vibrational Stability[cm/s]	1.0	2.8	3.0	4.5					
0.0% LN2 fill transient[cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.1% Zerodur phase change[cm/s] 3.0 4.0 5.0 7.5 0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.1% Stitching error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.1% Calibrator [cm/s] 2.0 4 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 0.1% Cords and proper motion [cm/s] <td< td=""><td>.0% F</td><td>Pressure stability [c m/s]</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.5</td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	.0% F	Pressure stability [c m/s]	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	10				
0.1% Zerodur phase change[cm/s] 3.0 4.0 5.0 7.5 0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Pixel inhomogenities [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 24.7% Fiber and Illumination 8.2 10 2 0.0% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.7% calibrator modal noise [cm/s] 1.0 2 0.0% Detectories Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 5.9% calibrator modal noise [cm/s] 5.0 5.9% 0.0% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 2.9% Scattered light Cal to Sci [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 7.5 8 Scattered light Cal to Sci [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 7.5 8 6 6 1.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.0% L	LN2 fill transient[cm/s]	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.5	42.7% 1	nstrument (Un-calibratable)	10.2	16.1	1
0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Fiber and Illumination 8.2 12 0.0% Fiber and Illumination 8.2 12 0.0% Fiber and Illumination 8.2 12 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.1% Stitching error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.0% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.5% Residual Fiber Polarization[cm/s] 2.0 4 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.9 0.10 1.1 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.9 0.16	1% Z	Zerodur phase change[cm/s]	3.0	4.0	5.0	7.5					
0.2% Detector 4.2 8.6 9.6 14.4 0.0% Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.1% Stitching error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.1% CCD themal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 7.5 0.1% Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.5% Residulal Fiber Polarization[cm/s] 1.0 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.1% 1.10 1.4% Guiding* [Cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0							24.7% F	Fiber and Illumination	8.2	12.0	1
0.0% Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.5 0.0% CCD themal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% CCD themal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% CCD themal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.5% Residulal Fiber Polarization[cm/s] 1.0 1.0 1.4% Suiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.1% 1.1 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.1% 1.1 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] </td <td>.2% [</td> <td>Detector</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>9.6</td> <td>14.4</td> <td>0.7% 0</td> <td>alibrator modal noise [cm/s]</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>2.3</td> <td></td>	.2% [Detector	4.2	8.6	9.6	14.4	0.7% 0	alibrator modal noise [cm/s]	1.0	2.3	
0.0% Electronics Noise [cm/s] 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.5 0.1% Stitching error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.0% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 0.4% Barycentric Correction 0.7 1 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.10 0.15 0.16 0.16 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% <t< td=""><td>.0% F</td><td>Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s]</td><td>0.1</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.7% s</td><td>science modal noise [cm/s]</td><td>1.0</td><td>2.3</td><td></td></t<>	.0% F	Pixel inhomogeneities [cm/s]	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.5	0.7% s	science modal noise [cm/s]	1.0	2.3	
0.1% Stitching error [cm/s] 2.0 5.7 6.0 9.0 0.0% CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% CED thermal Expansion [cm/s] 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV Nev MPV Nearfield scrambling*[cm/s] 2.0 4 0.6 Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.1 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.1 1 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 1.6 1.6 9.0 1.0 1.6	.0% E	Electronics Noise [cm/s]	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.5	5.9% 0	alibrator fiber FRD (2-5%)[cm/s]	5.0	5.0	
0.0% CCD themai Expansion (cm/s) 1.0 1.7 2.0 3.0 0.1% Readout Thermal Changes (cm/s) 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 6 Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV Residulal Fiber Polarization[cm/s] 1.0 1 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.0 4.0 0.0% Fiber Input (Rotation)[cm/s] 2.0 4 0.4% Barycentric Correction 0.7 1 1 near-field scrambling*[Cm/s] 2.0 4 0.6% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.1% Algorithms [cm/s] 0.1 1 1.4% Guiding* [Cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 0.1% 1.0 1.6 2.9 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 10.0 1.7 0.20 0.30 0.1 1 <	1% 5	Stitching error [cm/s]	2.0	5.7	6.0	9.0	5.9% s	cience fiber FRD (2-5%)[cm/s]	5.0	5.0	
0.1% Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 0.1% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 6 Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV near-field scrambling* [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.0 1 near-field scrambling* [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.0 1 near-field scrambling* [cm/s] 2.0 4 0.4% Barycentric Correction 0.7 1 1.1% 0.0% Fiber Input (Rotation)[cm/s] 2.0 4 0.4% Barycentric Correction 0.7 1 1.1% 0.0% Fiber Input (Rotation)[cm/s] 0.1 1 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 0.1% 1.1% Exposure midpoint time [cm/s] 0.7 0 2.9% Focus [cm/s] 2.5	.0% 0	CCD thermal Expansion [cm/s]	1.0	1.7	2.0	3.0	2.9% s	stray light [cm/s]	1.0	4.9	
0.1% Charge transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 6 Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 0.4% Barycentric Correction 0.7 1 1.4% Guiding* [Cm/s] 0.9 3.39 3.5 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% Vindshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.9 10.4 2.9% Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s] 0.5 2.9% Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 4.0 6.9 10.4 2.9% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 5.0 7.5 4.0 2.9% Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s] 2.5 4.3 3.5%	1% F	Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s]	2.5	4.3	5.0	7.5	2.9% \$	Scattered light Cal to Sci [cm/s]	2.0	4.6	
Abc* [cm/s] Abc* [cm/s] <	.1% (Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s]	2.5	4.3	5.0	7.5	0.5%	Residulal Fiber Polarization[cm/s]	1.0	1./	
% Contribution to Final MEV CBE Cont. MEV MPV 7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Focus [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 43.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0 11.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8.0								near-field scrambling* [GAIN]	20000	2X	101
7.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% MC* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Focus [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 11.7% Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s] 8.0 6.0 10.0 15.0 11.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8 11.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8	Canto	iliu dian da Final MCV	ODE	Cant	MEN	MEN /	2.9% 1	ar-tield scrambling*[cm/s]	2.0	4.6	
17.6% Telescope 5.7 10.8 12.2 18.3 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [rem/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Focus [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 23.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	Contr		CBE	Cont.	MEV	MPV	0.0%]	-Iber Input (Rotation)[cm/s]	2.2	3.1	3
1.4% Guiding* [RMS arcsec] 0.05 0.09 0.10 0.15 1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.9 3.39 3.5 5.3 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Foc us [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 2.3% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	.6% 1	Telescope	5.7	10.8	12.2	18.3	0.4% E	Barycentric Correction	0.7	1.6	
1.4% Guiding* [cm/s] 0.9 3.39 3.5 5.3 5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Foc us [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 S.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	4% (Guiding* [RMS arcsec]	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.1%	Algorithms [cm/s]	0.1	1.0	
5.7% ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec] 0.10 0.17 0.20 0.30 5.7% ADC* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Foc us [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 23.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	4% (Guiding* [cm/s]	0.9	3.39	3.5	5.3	0.1% E	Exposure midpoint time [cm/s]	0.7	0.7	
5.7% ADC* [cm/s] 3.1 6.21 6.9 10.4 2.9% Foc us [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 23.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0 11.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8	7% A	ADC* [Peak to Valley arcsec]	0.10	0.17	0.20	0.30	0.1% (Coords and proper motion [cm/s]	0.1	1.0	
2.9% Foc us [cm/s] 2.5 4.33 5.0 7.5 Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 33.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0 11.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8	7% A	ADC* [cm/s]	3.1	6.21	6.9	10.4	10 10				
7.5% Windshake* [cm/s] 4.0 6.93 8.0 12.0 23.5% Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s] 8.0 6.0 10.0 15.0 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	.9% F	Focus [cm/s]	2.5	4.33	5.0	7.5	5.9%	Detector cal vs. sci fiber	3.5	6.1	
Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s] 8.0 6.0 10.0 15.0 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	5% V	Windshake* [cm/s]	4.0	6.93	8.0	12.0	2.9% F	Readout Thermal Changes [cm/s]	2.5	4.3	
Atmospheric 8.5 11.3 14.1 21.2 11.7% Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s] 8.0 6.0 10.0 15.0 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	10) 194						2.9%	Charge Transfer Efficiency [cm/s]	2.5	4.3	3
11.7% Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s] 8.0 6.0 10.0 15.0 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0 11.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0	.5% 4	Atmospheric	8.5	11.3	14.1	21.2					
In.7% Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s] 3.0 9.5 10.0 15.0 In.7% Software Algorithms [cm/s] 5.0 8	7% 1	Micro-Telluric Correction [cm/s]	8.0	6.0	10.0	15.0	11.7% F	Reduction Pipeline	5.0	8.7	1
	7% 5	Sky Fiber Subtraction [cm/s]	3.0	9.5	10.0	15.0	11.7% 5	Software Algorithms [cm/s]	5.0	8.7	1
44.00/ External Errors 40.2 45							44.00/ 5	Sytemal Errora	40.2	45.0	

Our **Error Budget** is a compilation of sources of error, which add quadratically to inform the final performance baseline.

Total Instrument Error: 29 cm/s

Instrument:	43%
Atmosphere:	23%
Telescope:	18%
Calibration:	16%
Calibratable Inst:	1%

Halverson et al. 2016, SPIE, 9908.99086P



Pushing towards 10cm/s requires sub-milli-Kelvin instrument stability and high-quality vacuum chambers







HPF and NEID: next generation fiber-fed ultra-stabilized spectrographs









NEID

State-of the art environmental control



AAS Poster Today (Monday): 146.02 Emily Lubar, 140.27 Mark G./Cullen Blake

NEID Telescope Port



The NEID Precision RV System: Scrambling



Halverson, Roy et al. 2015

ANEID

- Real-time feedback of conditions to observer
- Optimizes observing time to the science
- Low-resolution spectra
- Chromatic barycentric correction < 1 cm/s







Data Pipeline: NEID's Heritage



Metcalf et al. 2019, Optica, in press (highest precision NIR RVs reported)

ANEID The NEID Precision RV System: Astrophysical Noise

The Stellar Activity Problem



ANEID The NEID Precision RV System: Astrophysical Noise

Astrophysical Noise

NEID captures the important activity indicators *and* most of the Doppler information for F-K dwarfs

NEID





Echelle Grating & Camera







Status Update: Dispersive Optics

Prism Integrated into Mount





Status Update: Optics Integration













Status & Schedule:

- 1. AI&V: Now
- 2. Shipping: mid 2019
- 3. Commissioning: Q2-Q3 2019
- 4. Begin Science Ops: late fall 2019



Science with NEID

Jason T. Wright Penn State

- Challenges
 - Present a state-of-the-art Doppler instrument
 - Improve on existing instruments
 - Address astrophysical noise
 - Enable powerful GO programs on faint *and* bright targets
Science: How NEID meets community needs

- Challenges
 - Present a state-of-the-art Doppler instrument
 - Leverage 10 years of technology development to improve on existing instruments
 - Single-measurement instrumental stability target < 30 cm/s
 - Chromatic Exposure Meter
 - Broad spectral grasp-> more RV information
 - Address astrophysical noise
 - Wavelength coverage includes multiple spectral activity tracers
 - High resolving power (R~100,000) enables line-shape diagnostics
 - Enable powerful GO programs on faint *and* bright targets
 - High-Resolution (HR) and High-Efficiency (HE) modes
 - Queue scheduling
 - Data reduction pipeline



High Resolution (R~100,000)	High Efficiency (R~60,000)
Line shape activity tracers (e.g. bisectors)	Survey efficiency
Superior telluric correction	Sub-optimal observing conditions (poor seeing, poor transparency)
Better stellar spectra	Fainter stars
Matched to LFC performance	Rapid rotators



Timing challenge:

- Barycentric motion at time of observation requires timing < 1s
- Chromatic seeing makes timing a function of wavelength
- Solution: exposure meter is a low-resolution spectrograph
- Provides low-res spectrum at high cadence



Chromatic Exposure Meter Provides:

- Real-time feedback of conditions to observer
- Optimizes observing time to the science
- Low-resolution spectra
- Precise barycentric correction as function of wavelength



Science: How NEID meets community needs

Astrophysical Noise

NEID captures the important activity indicators and most of the Doppler information

for F-K dwarfs





- NEID science team GTO program
 - See poster 140.28 today
 - Outlines of GTO program will be described, including bright star target list
 - GTO targets are not proprietary
 - But TAC may consider science duplication at its discretion
 - Skin in the game:
 - GTO shares the queue with all observers, will work to help optimize NEID science for everyone
 - GTO science goes through same data reduction pipeline as community

NEID

Exposure time calculator

- Instrument/Science team plans to provide an exposure time calculator
 - Choose target SNR or (shot-noise-limited) RV precision
 - Will include effects of RV spectral content (Teff, vsini)
 - Also intend to include period/rough amplitude of p-modes









Status & Schedule:

- 1. AI&V: Now
- 2. Shipping: mid 2019
- 3. Commissioning: Q2-Q3 2019
- 4. Begin Science Ops: late fall 2019



NEID at WIYN: Operations

Jayadev Rajagopal NOAO 2019 AAS, Seattle



Timeline: 2019

- Port Adaptor (fiber feed at WIYN Bent-Cass port) arrives from Washburn Labs (U. Wisconsin) late March-early April
- NEID arrives from PSU late May-early June
- Installation and Commissioning through to September
- Start of Operations in October-November (2019B Semester)

Port Adapter Functions



Port Adapter



Sky Fiber Sky Fiber HR/HE Fiber Head HR/HE Science (Sky) Fiber Core: 62.5 µm/102 µm CFB Quality Image Area: 380 µm

Science Fiber

Performance Requirement:

The Port Adapter guiding system must maintain the centroid of the stellar PSF on the science fiber to within 0.05'' (3.4 μ m) of the center of the fiber under median seeing and wind conditions with a V_{mag}= 12 star or brighter.



NN EXPLORE

Partnership for Exoplanet Discovery and Characterization.



Port Adaptor: Integration at U. Wisconsin Washburn

Lab



Enclosure designed to limit long term thermal drift to +-0.1 C over the lifetime of the mission

NN EXPLORE

Partnership for Exoplanet Discovery and Characterization.



NEID Enclosure



- NEID will be an open access community resource.
- Operates about 120 nights/year, in blocks. Initially full nights, phasing in half-night block capability.
- Semester-based proposal cycles
- WIYN alternates between classical time, queue NEID time and engineering time.
- Queue nights fixed at the beginning of each semester
- The queue is exclusively for NEID, optimized for RV measurements
- Programs from GO (NN-Explore time through NOAO TAC), GTO (Penn State, 30 nights a year) and university partners (IU, UW, Missouri, & Purdue)
- Nighttime staff of 2: queue observer and telescope operator
- Design based on existing HET and Gemin queues Integration Review April 4/5, 2018

- Uses telescope time efficiently and fairly
- Dynamic, to receive new input, requests
- Pls can monitor & adjust their programs (initially limited; phased in)
- Schedules observations within specific time windows associated with a given target
- Adopts existing software & methods
- Software developed for the queue will also be used on classical nights and for TOO observations



- 1. Pls consult documentation, WIYN staff, to plan their programs.
- 2. <u>Phase 1</u>: PIs submit proposals to NNEXPLORE TAC and a target list or example targets to the queue database.
- 3. TAC awards time at some priority level(s) to programs.
- 4. <u>Phase 2</u>: Successful PIs complete their target information.
- 5. WIYN checks Phase 2 data and approves it for scheduling.
- 6. Queue commences. Pls adjust ongoing programs (Phase 3) using the same interface as for Phase 2. Pls retrieve their data from a NExScl archive.

- Phase 1 targets due ~4 months before semester starts (when NN-EXPLORE proposals are due).
- Target information used to inform TACs of programs' scheduling requirements
- Phase 1 targets may be actual targets or example targets if actual targets are unknown.
- Target info is entered using a GUI or text files.
- WIYN staff (queue coordinator and instrument scientist), planning tools and documentation will be available to help proposers and the TAC. This will necessarily be limited for the first semester: will be shared-risk observing.

- After review, TACs will accept/reject proposals and award time to each accepted proposal with one or more of 4 priority levels.
- Total time a TAC shall award is proportional to the institution's share of the NEID queue.
- A TAC assigns:
 - 25% of its total time as Priority 1 (highest)
 - 25% of its total time as Priority 2
 - 25% of its total time as Priority 3
 - 50% or more (TBD) of its total time as Priority 4 (lowest)
- PIs should suggest and justify their own priority levels.
- TACs will promote queue efficiency by considering RA distribution of their proposals (with WIYN's help).

ANEID

- Phase 2 begins after PIs are notified of their successful programs and lasts until shortly before a semester start.
- Phase 3 is concurrent with the semester.
- Phase 2/3 use same data entry tools as Phase 1, but <u>complete</u> target definition is required
- Phase 2/3 target information shall conform to archiving requirements.
- WIYN staff (the acting queue coordinator) will check new Phase 2/3 targets for completeness.



- Data relevant to scheduling observations, instrument configuration and event timing will be stored in the queue Database at WIYN.
- The Database is Postgres, designed using a schema from Gemini as a guide.
- Other software components run at the telescope will be written in Python with GUI components using PyQT.
- External interfaces (target entry forms) will be secured web-based applications.



• Step 1, March 2019:

Call for proposals (March 2019 for 2019B semester) will feature best effort documentation and proposal planning tools.

- Database
- Target entry with "superuser" permissions
- Basic, functional Scheduler for early Commissioning activity
- Suitable for 1 program or classical observers during Commissioning
- Step 2, July 2019:
 - Target entry using better developed Observations Editor
 - Permits multiple programs, checks PI inputs. Tested during Commissioning
 - Improved public documentation in place
 - Improved planning tools in place
- Step 3, Fall 2019, in time for start of Operations.
 - Scheduler emphasizes fairness and efficiency
 - Observations Editor permits PIs to track & modify programs



NEID Job Opening:

Staff Scientist at NOAO/WIYN to support the NEID system.

Advertisement running on the AAS Job Register.

Opportunity for young researchers interested in instrumentation and RV science.



NEID Archive and Community Data Rachel Akeson, BJ Fulton NExScl January 7, 2019

NEID Data Flow and Processing



Every night NEID will record:

- Science data when NEID is on sky
- Instrument calibration data

NOAO will operate quick-look pipeline during observing

Daily transfer of data (10-100 GB)



NExScI will run all data through the NEID pipeline

• Data products generated and archived for PI and community access

ANEID

NEID Pipeline

- The pipeline is provided by the NEID Instrument Team, software team lead by Chad Bender
- Pipeline steps include
 - Wavelength calibration
 - Spectral extraction
 - Spectral processing and corrections
 - Barycentric velocity
 - Wavelength-depender photon-weighted mid-exposure time
 - Telluric correction
 - Activity indicators
 - Radial Velocity calculation



- Science Data
 - Includes calibrations specific to that observation
 - Simultaneous wavelength calibration fiber data
 - Simultaneous sky calibration fiber data
 - Exposure meter data
- Spectrometer Calibration Data
 - Tracks and calibrates long term instrument drift and radial velocity zero point
 - No proprietary period
- Facility Calibration Data
 - Meteorological conditions at the site, telescope status, port configuration, guider camera images
 - No proprietary period etc.
- Header data added to FITS files
 - Observer program information
 - Target information
 - Exposure information
 - Telescope telemetry
 - Spectrograph configuration
 - Detector configuration, gains, and biases
 - Exposure meter information
 - Telescope port telemetry, including one or more guider images per science exposure
 - Observatory site weather telemetry

ANEID

- Level 0 Raw data
 - One FITS file for each exposure
 - Each instrument readout (16 total) in an HDU
 - HDUs for exposure meter, guider image and coherent fiber





- Level 1 Extracted Spectra
 - 2D FITS images (order x pixel column) with extensions for sky, calibration, science fibers, and wavelength solution



- Level 2 Radial Velocities
 - Cross correlation function data
 - Sky and telluric models
 - Activity indicators
 - Additional keywords include
 - Barycentric correction
 - RV per order
 - Drift terms



- Science data
 - Available to PI team after processing through pipeline, quality checks and ingestion into archive
 - Available to community after proprietary period
 - Level 0, 1 and 2 available to download
- Metadata available as soon as data is in archive
 - Target name
 - Integration time
 - Data release date

- NEID data will be available in an archive developed and maintained by NExScI
- Web-based interface
 - Search by source (name or position), PI/project, date
 - Download selected or all data products
 - Includes ancillary data such as weather
 - Simple, interactive plotting
 - 1D spectrum, RV timeseries
 - Additional search capabilities added in later releases
- API (programming) interface
 - Table Access Protocol (TAP) interface to DB
 - VO compliant
 - Python API (likely astroquery)
 - Search and download on same metadata as web-based interface

NEID Proposal Calls, Utilization, Policies

© 2019 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged **NN-EXPLORE** provides a 2 semester/year Guest Observer (GO) program, administered by NOAO. There is limited funding support, sufficient to cover travel, modest research expenses, and publications costs, provided by NASA to observers through NExScl.

http://ast.noao.edu/observing/

- WIYN observations with NEID will begin with Semester 2019B.
- Approximately 90 nights per year awarded for GO observing.
- Semester B proposals due March 31 (awards announced mid-June)
- Semester 2019B will be a shared risk semester. NEID commissioning expected to complete in December 2019.
- NExScI will provide the automated RV pipeline and archive database.
- All NEID observations will be queue-based.
- WIYN Partners may used NEID but only through the queue and subject to NN-EXPLORE policies.
- Other WIYN instruments are available but will be evaluated for exoplanet science by the NOAO TAC.
NN-EXPLORE Policies (1/2)

- Key Science/Multi-Semester Projects
 - Key Science are large projects, evaluated separately from GO, up to 30 nights/year.
 - Allocated by the NOAO TAC
 - Not initially in Semester 2019B
- Director's Discretionary Time/Targets of Opportunity
 - TOO are top priority and submitted through the TAC.
 - DDT has no specific allocation, but push down other observations in the queue.
- Guaranteed Time Observing
 - 30 nights per year allocated to the Penn State team.
 - Same distribution of priorities as GO, perhaps with some adjustments.
 - GTO targets not exclusive and will be published with the call each semester.
- Guest Observing
 - Awarded by the TAC.
 - NEID Instrument queue-based.
- Observation Prioritization
 - Four bins of 25% each with the last bin oversubscribed.
 - PI's can request priorities for individual targets, but TAC has final authority to set the rank.
 - Priority 1 targets can carry over an addition two semesters if not completed.
 - Deadline for final object list for any proposal is set by the TAC.

NN-EXPLORE Policies (2/2)

• Duplicate Targets

- Duplicate targets will be allowed.
- Target lists will be published. PI's must affirm they have checked for duplicates against the published lists.
- The NOAO TAC will make the final decision on allowing the duplicate observation.
- Observed target metadata will be released as soon as observations are ingested into the archive.
- For duplicate observations, each team only gets their data.
- Data Acceptance Criteria
 - As part of the proposal process, PIs will specify acceptable data quality and quantity.
 - Data acceptance based on the archival data (not quick look products).
- Data Proprietary Periods
 - 2 years for GTO.
 - 1.5 years for GO and TOO.
 - DDT is released immediately.
 - All metadata released immediately.

Note: Policies are mature, but not yet finalized. Community input welcome.

Additional Information

• Websites

https://exoplanets.nasa.gov/exep/NNExplore/ http://ast.noao.edu/observing/

- The NEID Splinter Session presentations will be posted on the NASA exoplanet website (above).
- Additional teleconferences on NN-EXPLORE observing will be held in the coming weeks to allow the community that missed this session to be briefed on this and to ask questions.
- Look for details in ExoPAG News and Announcements [exopagannounce].



jpl.nasa.gov

This work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(c) 2019 All rights reserved.